

More than kin, less than kind Tocharian as an inner Indo-European language?

Hannes A. Fellner
University of Vienna

From the perspective of modern Indo-European historical linguistics, most of the old handbooks on Tocharian are outdated regarding nominal morphology. The treatment of nominal morphology in the handbooks is also incoherent and non-exhaustive from a synchronic point of view – not at least because until recently Tocharian texts were not easily accessible. Thus, inquiries into the history of Tocharian nominal morphology have been scarce (e.g., Hackstein 2011, Kim 2009, Pinault 2011, Malzahn 2014) and there is no up-to-date monograph on this topic.

The goal of this talk is to sketch out the most important trends in the development of the main Indo-European nominal categories into the Tocharian languages, highlighting archaisms and innovations. In doing so the investigation aims at contributing to a better understanding of the position of Tocharian among the other Indo-European languages. Specifically, I will address the question whether nominal morphology provides evidence that Tocharian was the second language to split off from Proto-Indo-European after the Anatolian branch, as has been argued by Ringe et al. (2002), Jasanoff (2003), and Anthony and Ringe (2015).

References

- Anthony, David W., and Don Ringe. 2015. The Indo-European Homeland from Linguistic and Archaeological Perspectives. *Annual Review of Linguistics* 1: 199–219.
- Hackstein, Olav. 2011. Collective and Feminine in Tocharian. In: Olav Hackstein and Ronald I. Kim (eds.), *Linguistic developments along the Silkroad: Archaism and innovation in Tocharian*. (Sitzungsberichte der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philosophisch-historische Klasse 834) Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 143–77.
- Jasanoff, Jay H. 2003. *Hittite and Indo-European Verb*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kim, Ronald. 2009. The feminine gender in Tocharian and Indo-European. In: Kazuhiko Yoshida and Brent Vine (eds.), *East and West: Papers in Indo-European Studies*. Bremen: Hempen, 69–87.
- Malzahn, Melanie. 2010. *The Tocharian Verbal System*. Leiden/Boston: Brill.
- . Das Kollektivum im Tocharischen. In: Norbert Oettinger and Thomas Steer (eds.), *Das Nomen im Indogermanischen. Morphologie, Substantiv versus Adjektiv, Kollektivum. Akten der Arbeitstagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft vom 14. bis 16. September 2011 in Erlangen*. Wiesbaden: Reichert, 195–201.
- Peyrot, Michaël. 2013. *The Tocharian subjunctive. A study in syntax and verbal stem formation*. Leiden/Boston: Brill.
- Pinault, Georges-Jean. Tocharian -nt- participles and agent nouns. In: Olav Hackstein and Ronald I. Kim (eds.), *Linguistic developments along the Silkroad: Archaism and innovation in Tocharian*. (Sitzungsberichte der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philosophischhistorische Klasse 834) Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 179–204.
- Ringe, Don, Tandy Warnow, and Ann Taylor. 2002. Indo-European and computational cladistics. *Transactions of the Philological Society* 100: 59–129.